

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,888	07/31/2003	Mark Anthony Wingate	600.1286	9814
22200	590 01/10/2007	EXAMINER .		
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			BOLLINGER, DAVID H	
NEW YORK, NY 10018		· .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3653	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	. 01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/631,888	WINGATE ET AL.		
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		David H. Bollinger	3653		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende	ROM THE MAILING DA ler the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ABANDONE 18 date of this communication, even if timely filed	I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subj) is/are withdraw red. e rejected. objected to.				
Application Papers					
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing sheet	that any objection to the cet(s) including the correction	r. ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Paper No(s)/Mail Date 31 July 2003	wing Review (PTO-948) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/631,888 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doucet (cited by applicant).

Doucet shows a transport system comprising: a tape 422; a pulley 425; and a lever arm 426 having a first side (the left side of arm 426 in Fig. 2) and a second side (the right side of arm 426 in Fig. 2). Doucet further shows a second tape; a second pulley 415; and a second lever arm 416.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doucet in

view of Dreyer.

Doucet as interpreted above in paragraph 2 shows everything except the specific

Page 3

bearing supporting the pulley.

Dreyer teaches a bearing supporting a rotating element provided with a rotatable

inner race (see Fig. 1) at 16.

In view of the teachings of Dreyer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to support the pulleys of Doucet with a roller bearing having an

inner race. This would reduce the frictional loses in the drive system of the

apparatus. Clearly a desirable result.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claims 7 through 9 are allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David H. Bollinger whose telephone number is 571-272-

6935. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 am

to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Mackey, can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,888 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David H Bollinger
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3653